

# Spaces of strongly lacunary invariant summable sequences

Ekrem Savaş

Department of Mathematics, Usak University, Usak, Turkey

E-mail: ekremsavas@yahoo.com

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and examine some properties of three sequence spaces defined using lacunary sequence and invariant mean which generalize several known sequence spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **40B05**. 40C05

Keywords.  $\sigma$ -convergence, absolutely lacunary invariant and strongly lacunary invariant summability.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $w$  be the set of all sequences real or complex and  $l_\infty$  denote the Banach space of bounded sequences  $\xi = \{\xi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  normed by  $\|\xi\| = \sup_{k \geq 0} |\xi_k|$ . Lorentz [4] proved that

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m \xi_{n+i} \text{ exists uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be a one-to-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $l_\infty$  is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if and only if

1.  $\varphi(\xi) \geq 0$  when the sequence  $\xi = (\xi_n)$  has  $\xi_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ .
2.  $\varphi(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$  and
3.  $\varphi(\xi_{\sigma(n)}) = \varphi(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in l_\infty$ .

For a certain kinds of mapping  $\sigma$  every invariant mean  $\varphi$  extends the limit functional on space  $c$ , in the sense that  $\varphi(\xi) = \lim \xi$  for all  $\xi \in c$ . Consequently,  $c \subset V_\sigma$  where  $V_\sigma$  is the bounded sequences all of whose  $\sigma$ -means are equal, ( see, [17]).

If  $\xi = (\xi_k)$ , set  $T\xi = (T\xi_k) = (\xi_{\sigma(k)})$  it can be shown that (see, Schaefer [12]) that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ \xi \in l_\infty : \lim_k t_{km}(\xi) = Le \text{ uniformly in } m \text{ for some } L = \sigma - \lim \xi \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$t_{km}(\xi) = \frac{\xi_m + T\xi_m + \dots + T^k \xi_m}{k+1} \text{ and } t_{-1,m} = 0$$

We say that a bounded sequence  $\xi = (\xi_k)$  is  $\sigma$ -convergent if and only if  $\xi \in V_\sigma$  such that  $\sigma^k(n) \neq n$  for all  $n \geq 0, k \geq 1$ .

Just as the concept of almost convergence lead naturally to the concept of strong almost convergence,  $\sigma$ - convergence leads naturally to the concept of strong  $\sigma$ -convergence. A sequence  $\xi = (\xi_k)$  is said to be strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent (see Mursaleen [8]) if there exists a number  $L$  such that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |\xi_{\sigma^i(m)} - L| \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.2)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $m$ . We write  $[V_\sigma]$  as the set of all strong  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences. When (1.2) holds we write  $[V_\sigma] - \lim x = L$ . Taking  $\sigma(m) = m + 1$ , we obtain  $[V_\sigma] = [\hat{c}]$ , which is defined in [5], so strong  $\sigma$ -convergence generalizes the concept of strong almost convergence. Note that

$$[V_\sigma] \subset V_\sigma \subset l_\infty.$$

Spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Kuttner [3], Maddox [5] and others. The invariant summable sequences have been discussed by Schafer [17] and some others. Mursaleen [9] have considered absolute invariant convergent and absolute invariant summable sequences. Also the strongly invariant summable sequences was studied by Saraswat and Gupta[11]. Some works related to invariant summable sequences can be found in [10, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The goal of this paper is to study the spaces of strongly lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable sequences, which naturally come up for investigation and which will fill up a gap in the existing literature.

Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be the sequence of positive integers such that

- i)  $k_0 = 0$  and  $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$
- ii)  $h_r = (k_r - k_{r-1}) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then  $\theta$  is called a lacunary sequence. The intervals determined by  $\theta$  are denoted by  $I = (k_r - k_{r-1}]$ . The ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$  (see, Freedman et al [2]).

Recently, Das and Mishra [1] defined  $M_\theta$ , the set of almost lacunary convergent sequences, as follows:

$$M_\theta = \left\{ \xi : \text{there exists } l \text{ such that uniformly in } i \geq 0, \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} (\xi_{k+i} - l) = 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $T = (t_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix of nonnegative real numbers and  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence such that  $p_k > 0$ . We write  $T\xi = \{T_n(\xi)\}$  if  $T_n(\xi) = \sum_k t_{nk} |\xi_k|^{p_k}$  converges for each  $n$ . We write.

$$d_{rn}(\xi) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} T_{\sigma^n(i)}(\xi) = \sum_k t(n, k, r) |\xi_k|^{p_k}$$

where

$$t(n, k, r) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} t_{\sigma^n(i), k}.$$

If we take  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$

$$d_{rn}(\xi) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} T_{\sigma^n(i)}(\xi) = \sum_k t(n, k, r) |\xi_k|^{p_k}$$

and

$$t(n, k, r) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} t_{\sigma^n(i), k}.$$

reduces to

$$t_{rn}(\xi) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=0}^m T_{n+i}(\xi) = \sum_k t(n, k, r) |\xi_k|^{p_k}$$

where

$$t(n, k, r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=0}^m t_{n+i, k}.$$

We define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_0 &= \{ \xi : d_{rn}(\xi) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \}; \\ [T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}] &= \{ \xi : d_{rn}(\xi - le) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } l \text{ uniformly in } n \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty = \left\{ x : \sup_n d_{rn}(\xi) < \infty \right\}.$$

The sets  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_0$ ,  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]$  and  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty$  will be respectively called the spaces of strongly lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to zero, strongly lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable and strongly lacunary  $\sigma$ -bounded sequences. If  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the above spaces reduces to the following sequence spaces which are introduced in [16].

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{T}_\theta, p]_0 &= \{ \xi : t_{rn}(\xi) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \}; \\ [\hat{T}_\theta, p] &= \{ \xi : t_{rn}(\xi - le) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } l \text{ uniformly in } n \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[\hat{T}_\theta, p]_\infty = \left\{ \xi : \sup_n t_{rn}(\xi) < \infty \right\}.$$

If  $\xi$  is strongly lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to  $l$  we write  $\xi_k \rightarrow l[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]$ . A pair  $(T, p)$  will be called strongly lacunary  $\sigma$ -invariant regular if

$$\xi_k \rightarrow l \Rightarrow \xi_k \rightarrow l[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}].$$

## 2 The main results

Before giving main theorem we have some propositions:

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $p \in \ell_\infty$ , then  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]_0$ ,  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$  and  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]_\infty$  are linear spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* We consider only  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$ . If  $H = \sup p_k$  and  $K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$  we have [see Maddox [5], p. 346].

$$|t_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq K(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}) \quad (2.1)$$

and for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H). \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose that  $\xi_k \rightarrow l[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$ ,  $\rho_k \rightarrow l[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have

$$d_{rn}(\lambda\xi + \mu\rho - (\lambda l + \mu l')e) \leq K K_1 d_{rn}(\xi - le) + K K_2 d_{mn}(\rho - l'e)$$

where  $K_1 = \sup |\lambda|^{p_k}$  and  $K_2 = \sup |\mu|^{p_k}$ , and this implies that  $\lambda\xi + \mu\rho \rightarrow (\lambda l + \mu l') [T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$ . This completes the proof. ■

We have

**Proposition 2.2.**  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p] \subset [T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]_\infty$ , if

$$\|T\| = \sup_r \sum_k t(n, k, r) < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\xi_k \rightarrow l[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$  and (2.3) holds. Now by the inequality (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{rn}(\xi) &= d_{mn}(\xi - le + le) \\ &\leq K d_{rn}(\xi - le) + K \sum_k t(n, k, r) |l|^{p_k} \\ &\leq K d_{rn}(\xi - le) + K (\sup |l|^{p_k}) \sum_k t(n, k, r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Therefore  $\xi \in [T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]_\infty$  and this completes the proof. ■

**Remark 2.3.** Some known sequence spaces are obtained by specializing  $T$  and therefore some of the results proved here extend the corresponding results obtained for the special cases.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $p \in \ell_\infty$ , then  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]_0$  and  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]_\infty$  ( $\inf p_k > 0$ ) are linear topological spaces paranormed by  $g$  defined by

$$g(\xi) = \sup_{r,n} [d_{rn}(\xi)]^{1/M}$$

where  $M = \max(1, H = \sup p_k)$ . If (2.3) holds, then  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma)}, p]$  has the same paranorm.

*Proof.* Clearly  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(\xi) = g(-\xi)$ . Since  $M \geq 1$ , by Minkowski's inequality it follows that  $g$  is subadditive. We now show that the scalar multiplication is continuous. It follows from the inequality (2.2) that

$$g(\lambda\xi) \leq \sup |\lambda|^{p_k/M} g(\xi).$$

Therefore  $\xi \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lambda\xi \rightarrow 0$  (for fixed  $\lambda$ ). Now let  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  and  $\xi$  be fixed. Given  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists N$  such that

$$d_{rn}(\lambda\xi) < \varepsilon/2 \quad (\forall n, \forall r > N). \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $d_{r,n}(\xi)$  exists for all  $r$ , we write

$$d_{rn}(\xi) = K(r), \quad (1 \leq r \leq N)$$

and

$$\delta = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2K(r)} \right)^{1/p_k}.$$

Then  $|\lambda| < \delta$ ,

$$d_{rn}(\lambda\xi) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (\forall n, 1 \leq r \leq N). \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\lambda \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lambda\xi \rightarrow 0 \quad (\xi \text{ fixed})$$

This proves the assertion about  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]_0$ . If  $\inf p_k = \theta > 0$  and  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ , then  $\forall \xi \in [T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]_\infty$ ,

$$g^M(\lambda\xi) \leq |\lambda|^\theta g^M(\xi).$$

Therefore  $[T\theta, p]_\infty$  has the paranorm  $g$ . If (2.3) holds it is clear from Proposition 2.2 that  $g(\xi)$  exists for each  $\xi \in [T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 2.5.** It is clear that  $g$  is not a norm in general. But if  $p_k = p \forall k$ , then clearly  $g$  is a norm for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and a  $p$ -norm for  $0 < p < 1$ .

**Proposition 2.6.**  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]_0$  and  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]_\infty$  are complete with respect to their paranorm topologies  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]$  is complete if (2.3) holds and

$$\sum_k t(n, k, r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n. \quad (2.7)$$

The proof is easy and we omit it.

Combining the above proposition we have the main result.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $p \in \ell_\infty$ . Then  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]_0$  and  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]_\infty$  ( $\inf p_k > 0$ ) are complete linear topological spaces paranormed by  $g$ . If (2.3) and (2.7) hold then  $[T_{(\theta,\sigma),p}]$  has the same property. If further  $p_k = p$  for all  $k$ , they are Banach spaces for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $p$ -normed spaces for  $0 < p < 1$ .

We now give locally boundedness and  $q$ -convexity for the spaces of strongly almost summable sequences. We start with some definitions. For  $0 < q \leq 1$  a non-void subset  $U$  of a linear space is said to be absolutely  $q$ -convex if  $\xi, \rho \in U$  and  $|\gamma|^q + |\mu|^q \leq 1$  together imply that  $\gamma\xi + \mu\rho \in U$ . It is clear that if  $U$  is absolutely  $q$ -convex, then it is absolutely  $t$ -convex for  $t < q$ . A linear topological space  $X$  is said to be  $q$ -convex if every neighbourhood of  $0 \in X$  contains an absolutely  $q$ -convex neighbourhood of  $0 \in X$ . The  $q$ -convexity for  $q > 1$  is of little interest, since  $X$  is  $q$ -convex for  $q > 1$  if and only if  $X$  is the only neighbourhood of  $0 \in X$ , [see Maddox and Roles [6]]. A subset  $B$  of  $X$  is said to be bounded if for each neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0 \in X$  there exists an integer  $N > 1$  such that  $B \subseteq NU$ .  $X$  is called locally bounded if there is a bounded neighbourhood of zero.

We have

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $0 < p_k \leq 1$ . Then  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_0$  and  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty$  are locally bounded if  $\inf p_k > 0$ . If (2.3) holds, then  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]$  has the same property.

The proof of the above theorem follows on the same lines as adopted by Savas [16]. So we omitted it.

It is known that every locally bounded linear topological space is  $q$ -convex for some  $q$  such that  $0 < q \leq 1$ . But the following theorem gives exact conditions for  $q$ -convexity.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $0 < p_k \leq 1$ . Then  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_0$  and  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty$  are  $q$ -convex for all  $q$  where  $0 < q < \liminf p_k$ . Moreover, if  $p_k = p \leq 1 \forall k$ , then they are  $p$ -convex.  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]$  has the same properties if (2.3) holds.

*Proof.* We shall prove the theorem only for  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty$ . Let  $[T_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty$  and  $q \in (0, \liminf p_k)$ . Then  $\exists k_0$  such that  $q \leq p_k (\forall k > k_0)$ . Now define

$$g\sigma(\xi) = \sup_{r, n} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} t(n, k, r) |\xi_k|^q + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} t(n, k, r) |\xi_k|^{p_k} \right].$$

Since  $q \leq p_k \leq 1 (\forall k > k_0)$ ,  $g\sigma$  is subadditive. Further for  $0 < |\gamma| \leq 1$ ,

$$|\gamma|^{p_k} \leq |\gamma|^q \quad (\forall k > k_0)$$

Therefore for such  $\gamma$  we have

$$g\sigma(\gamma\xi) \leq |\gamma|^q g\sigma(x).$$

Now for  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$U = \{x : g\sigma(x) \leq \delta\}$$

is an absolutely  $q$ -convex set, for  $|\gamma|^q + |\mu|^q \leq 1$  and  $\xi, \rho \in U$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} g\sigma(\gamma\xi + \mu\rho) &\leq g\sigma(\gamma\xi) + g\sigma(\mu\rho) \leq |\gamma|^q g\sigma(\xi) + |\mu|^q g\sigma(\rho) \\ &\leq (|\gamma|^q + |\mu|^q) \delta \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

If  $p_k = p$  ( $\forall k$ ), then for  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$V = \{x : g\sigma \leq \delta\}$$

is an absolutely  $p$ -convex set. This can be prove by the same analysis and therefore we omit the details. This completes the proof. ■

## References

- [1] G. Das and S. K. Mishra, *Banach limits and lacunary strong almost convergence*, J. Orissa Math. Soc. 2(2), (1983), 61-70.
- [2] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Rapheal, *Some Cesaro-type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 37 (1973), 508-520.
- [3] B. Kuttner (1946), *Note on strong summability*, J. London Math. Soc. 21, 118-22.
- [4] G. G. Lorentz (1948), *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. 80, 167-190.
- [5] I. J. Maddox , *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 18, 345-55.
- [6] I. J. Maddox and J. W. Roles, *Absolute convexity in certain topological linear spaces*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 66,(1969), 541-45.
- [7] I. J. Maddox (1970), *Elements of Functional Analysis* (Camb. Univ. Press).
- [8] Mursaleen, *Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces*, Houston J. Math. **9**(1993), 505–509.
- [9] Mursaleen, *On some new invariant matrix methods of summability*, Q.J. Math. 34 (1983), 77-86.
- [10] F. Nuray and E.Savas, *Some new sequence spaces defined by a modulus function*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 24 (4), (1993), 657-663.
- [11] S. K. Saraswat and S. K. Gupta, *Spaces of strongly  $\sigma$ -summable sequences*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 75,(1983), 179-184,
- [12] E. Savaş, *A note on absolute  $\sigma$  -summability*, Istanbul Univ. Fac. Sci. Math. J. 50 ,(1991), 123-128.

- [13] E. Savaş, *Invariant means and generalization of a theorem of S. Mishra*, Doa Trk. J. Math. 14, (1989), 8-14.
- [14] E. Savaş, *On strong  $\sigma$ -convergence*, J. Orissa Math. Soc. Vol. 5, No.2, (1986), 45-53.
- [15] E. Savaş, *On lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 21 (4), (1990), 359-365.
- [16] E. Savaş, *Lacunary almost convergence and some new sequence spaces*, Filomat 33 (5), (2019), 13971402.
- [17] P. Schaefer, *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**(1972), 104–110.